

A comparative analysis of intelligence services

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., Contributing Editor

uring the course of the past week, a concerned European official requested that I provide a summary of my estimate of the comparative qualities of several nations' intelligence services.

The query was prompted chiefly by a review of the current Iranian crisis. The question reflected the shock among many European officials after discovering that the U.S. State Department (Ramsey Clark), Henry Kissinger, David Rockefeller, and other U.S. influentials were knowledgeably complicit in setting up the hostage situation in Iran.

That and other discussions of the past week have shown that it would be useful, at this time, to provide the sort of report requested as public information. I do so here.

Classifying national intelligence services

The first thing one must do before rating national intelligence services is to set ground-rules for the review.

When political figures, such as legislators and lead-

ing groups of private citizens, ask about the quality of nations' intelligence services, they are thinking, first of all, of the quality of information leaked to them by official government sources. At first thought, most such persons forget that their own national intelligence services may lie to the highest executive levels of government, as well as to legislators and other influential citizens. So, we must make a distinction between the quality of what intelligence services know, and the competence of the information which they report.

Next, the intelligence capabilities available to various nations are a mixture of private, official, and semiofficial institutions. In addition, there are factional differences among the combination of such entities within a nation, and within most of the official varieties of agencies taken one at a time.

This set of complications does not mean that meaningful comparisons are not available. The complications oblige us to construct a comparative picture by means of a series of successive overlays. Each overlay corresponds to one kind of question.

We compare some principal nations' intelligence capabilities and information-releases accordingly.

1. Rated by quality of knowledge	2. Rated by quality of information released	3. Rated by military- strategic competence	4. Rated by knowledge of international terrorism	5. Rated by value of information released on international terrorism
1. Great Britain	1. France	1. Soviet Union	1. Great Britain	1. Italy
2. Israel	2. Italy	2. France	2. Israel	2. France
3. Switzerland	3. Great Britain	3. East Germany	3./4. Italy/	3. Soviet Union
financial	4. Soviet Union	4. West Germany	France	4. West Germany
4. France	5. Switzerland	5. Italy	5. Switzerland	5. East Germany
5. Soviet Union	financial	6. Great Britain	financial	6. Switzerland
6. Italy	6. West Germany	7. Switzerland	6. Soviet Union	financial
7. East Germany	7. East Germany	financial	7. West Germany	7. Great Britain
8. United States	8. Israel	8. Israel	8. East Germany	8. United States
9. West Germany	9. United States	9. United States	9. United States	9. Israel

Comments on each

Great Britain—British intelligence today is the outgrowth, historically, of a 1590s coup takeover of the Tudor Secret Intelligence Service of England (SIS) by the Cecil-led faction. The Cecils were proteges and agents of the Rome-Genoa-Geneva "black nobility" faction (Pallavicinis, Colonnas, et al.), and therefore of the 16th century Jesuit order. They were allied, therefore to the black nobility-controlled Hapsburg and Wittelsbach organizations, as well as such Welf (Guelph) households as the ruling house of Hannover.

John Calvin was also a protege of the Italian black nobility and was the Protestant complement to the Catholic-Jesuit order of Calvin's former close associate and former fellow-student, Ignatius Loyola. The black nobility worked both sides of the Catholic/Protestant factions of the 16th and 17th centuries, just as the "Protestant League" of France's Henry IV was an alliance of Augustinian currents of both Catholicism and Protestantism.

That historical background is indispensable for understanding British intelligence and its immediate accomplices today. If one traces out the history of the Cecil family, and the families associated with the Stuart Restoration cabal, one identifies efficiently the hard kernal of what may be usefully identified as the kook faction of British intelligence, the aspect of British intelligence and policy-making closely tied to the black nobility "kooks" of the European continent and Hapsburg-Pallavicini-allied kook factions in the Western Hemisphere. These kooks are the "one worlders," the

forces which wish to undo such products of the 15th century Golden Renaissance as the creation of the modern nation-state and industrial capitalism. "Trilby" Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher and her "Svengali," Sir Keith Joseph, are above-surface examples of the kook faction in British policy today. Henry Kissinger and Alexander Haig, among others, are U.S. examples of the same Anglo-American kook faction.

Opposite to the kook faction in British intelligence and policy-making are the British nationalists, the "realists." Like the kooks, the nationalists or realists are determined to assert London's hegemony of influence in world affairs, but are not willing to go so far as to risk the absolute destruction of Britain to accomplish that end.

At the present moment, the kooks are running rampant in Britain and in hegemonic influence over policy-making circles in the United States, as well as in Israel.

France—French elites, including military and intelligence elites, are also determined historically. One current in the elite of today's France is rooted in the establishment of France as a modern national-economy under Louis XI. The 16th century Politiques and their 17th century continuation through Richelieu, Mazarin, and Colbert represent what is usefully termed the Legitimist tradition and faction, the faction associated with the Marquis de Lafayette and Benjamin Franklin's other 18th century allies. Formerly the Legitimist royalist faction of the 1790s, the Legitimist current has become the modern French republican elite, as typified by the late President Charles de Gaulle, and, before de

Gaulle, by the great minister of France, Gabriel Han-

The opposite faction in France's elite strata is historically Orleanist. This is rooted in the Fronde, in Anglophile Bordeaux, and in other manifestations of adversary factions to Richelieu, Mazarin, Colbert, Lafayette, Hanotaux and de Gaulle in France into the present time. Jacques Soustelle and the leadership of the Socialist Party of France typify the modern outgrowth of the Orleanists.

The close alliance of the Duke of Orleans and Necker during the 1780s through their deaths was also their mutual alliance with the British forces centered around Lord Shelburne, Pitt the Younger, and Jeremy Bentham during the course of the French Revolution. It was Orleans and Necker who sponsored the Jacobin faction, and Jacobin terror in 1790s France; so, the present-day affiliation of OAS types to the Jacobin Socialist Party of France is scarcely wanting in precedents. One should not be astonished, therefore, to discover that the behind-the-scenes sponsors of the anti-Giscard "center-left government" project in France are ultra-rightists allied closely to the forces behind the Thatcher government of Britain.

In general, because of the French elites' conscious rooting in knowledge of European history, French intelligence is potentially of high quality. The weaknesses of French intelligence arise from the precarious hegemony of the healthy currents over the insurgent, anti-Gaullist forces typified by Soustelle's friends.

West Germany—Germany formerly had excellent quality of intelligence services. The Nazi regime made use of these capabilities while also weakening them. The capable social strata which formerly provided Germany with its intelligence capabilities were variously taken over by the British or suppressed during and following the post-war occupation. Federal Republic regular intelligence services are staffed chiefly by ordinary policemen lacking in the assimilated qualities of tradition and knowledge required for a competent intelligence service. This weakness is aggravated by acquired mental and policy habits of the Occupation and NATO arrangements. West Germany maintains, for example, no competent, systematic intelligence study of the United States and Great Britain.

Former German intelligence capabilities developed in the aftermath of the Thirty Years War. One branch of this development was the military and civil-service professionals of the Germany oligarchy. The other, somewhat-overlapping current was the heritage of Gottfried Leibniz, the industrial-republican scientific

The latter aspect of former Germany intelligence capabilities deserves the greater emphasis in comparative evaluation of West Germany's intelligence capabilities for today.

Leibniz created the scientific doctrine of modern political economy during the latter part of the 17th century, while also developing a rigorous foundation for Natural Law in both jurisprudence and science. Leibniz also cooperated with France's arch-intelligence grand master, Colbert, with the English Commonwealth Party and with Eugene of Savoy, in heading up during his lifetime one of the most sophisticated, operating political intelligence and operations networks the world has seen to date. Leibniz and his allies came near to taking over Europe. It was the corruption of Louis XIV plus the culmination of that corruption in Marlborough's campaign which narrowly defeated Leibniz and his allies.

During the period of the French Revolution, and afterwards, Germany's republicans, the heirs of Leibniz, were concentrated along the spine of Germany, the Rhine. These forces worked closely with Lafayette's circles, including the Ecole Polytechnique and its heirs in France. In close cooperation with Lafayette and the Whig forces in the United States, the German republicans of the post-1815 period developed a strategic approach for the industrialization of Germany, developing centers in the Rhineland-Ruhr area (metal-working industry) and centered around Stuttgart (chemicals, metal-working). Through a strategic approach to developing the German railway system, the 19th century German economic miracle was accomplished.

German republicanism was significantly disrupted as a political force in its own right by the developments leading into and following the 1848-1849 revolution. The republican tradition of Leibniz, Schiller, von Cotta and Friedrich List retreated into the provinces of science and industry. Despite the wrecking of the 1919-1946 period, these social forces persisted as a significant force in Germany's political life, if vestigially, into the 1966-1968 period, since when they have tended to evaporate, or become mere shadows of their former selves.

Under Occupation and post-Occupation arrangements, various foreign and domestic influences in West Germany worked to the effect of preventing either the industrialist or military traditions from becoming dominant in the development of a new, nationalistic German set of intelligence services. Germany's intelligence services have no historical perspective or "grand strategy" today. To the extent they develop an intelligence estimate, this occurs by "reacting to" developments thrust upon them, not by developing a comprehensive overview in respect to historic, national interests of the nation.

This is associated with a heavy dependence upon and, worse, subordination to, intelligence-estimate "hand-outs" by, principally, British, British-dominated NATO, and British-Israeli-Peking-dominated U.S.A. intelligence playbacks.

There are isolated exceptions to this, including some better perceptions reflected by Chancellor Schmidt and his Chancellor's office. However, these are conspicuously exceptions, and do not represent or have the advantage of adequate qualities of in-depth, comprehensive work by regular intelligence services.

Crucial proof of this characterization is provided frequently by statements of numerous among leading industrialists and other figures in West Germany. We refer to statements which could not possibly be issued unless the issuer were pathetically ignorant of ABCs in the area involved.

Italy—The superiority of Italian intelligence services over West Germany's is often masked by the fact of the Italian nation's relatively disadvantageous position as an economic and military strategic power.

Italy has several intelligence traditions, and Italian institutions were not gutted to anything near the extent post-war Germany's institutions were ravaged.

There are principally two intelligence traditions in Italy. One centers around the Rome Black Nobility and involves the Genoese Black Nobility, the Fanfani-linked currents of the Christian Democracy, and the Socialist Party of Italy. If we define the anarchist and anarchoid elements of Italy as the heirs, respectively, of Mazzini and Croce, and as the "extreme left," then it is correct to report that the "extreme right" and the "extreme left" of Italy are siblings of the same Black Nobility mother. This is analogous to the Soustelle-Socialist Party linkage in France.

The other principal current in Italy is centered around the Augustinian currents of the Christian Democracy, and often has support on matters of national interest from the non-Crocean forces of the Communist Party. This latter connection is stronger than the present-day Gaullist influence over the Communist Party of France.

This latter force in Italy tends toward close cooperation with the like-oriented forces in France. This force in Italy has been the principal foundation for the recent governments of Giulio Andreotti, and is the force responsible for the inspiring clean-up recently directed against international terrorism in that nation.

Switzerland—Geneva has been a traditional extension of Black Nobility influence in the old Burgundian region since the 15th century. Traditionally directed against France, it is one of the nastiest centers for financing international evil in the world. By virtue of such elements of pure evil within the Swiss banking system as a whole, the nationalist accommodation among Swiss bankers generally results in an overall

amoral-to-immoral quality of the imperatives reflected from Swiss finance generally.

The Swiss financial community has a coordinate influence-intelligence capability, as well as its assets of that sort flowing from its historic participation in the Rome-Genoa-Geneva-Amsterdam-London axis of Black Nobility finance generally. Swiss influence for evil in Italy is traced along a line defined by Milan, Turin, Genoa, Rome, Naples, and Palermo.

Milan and Palermo were centers for the trans-Atlantic kidnapping operation which abducted Vaticanlinked financier Michele Sindona. The elements detected in this kidnapping were part of the old Permindex network of Bronfman agent Louis M. Bloomfield. Permindex, expelled from Switzerland for its complicity in attempted assassinations of President de Gaulle, was based in Genoa and Rome, and was tied, together with elements in Brussels and Spain, with OAS and Israeli elements operating against France from Spain. It was the Rome extension of the Permindex network which was indicted by a Louisiana grand jury as complicit in aspects of the assassination of President Kennedy. It was the same Brussels connection of Permindex involved in the assassination-attempts against de Gaulle which led to uncovering the Louisiana extension of Permindex.

East Germany—The special problem in East Germany's intelligence capabilities is the continued, strong influence of the heritage of British intelligence's Karl Korsch among leading and other circles in that nation. East Germany is specially susceptible to the influence of the British intelligence agency-of-influence within the Soviet intelligence community, the Maclean-led IMEMO intelligence service of the Soviet Communist Party leadership. For related reasons, East Germany reports are often violently disinformational by comparison with the usual standard of Moscow Izvestia and Liternaya Gezeta. Otherwise, like Havana, East Germany is a frequent point of access for laundering British and Israeli terrorist operations through East Bloc facilities.

Soviet Union—Although certain elements of Soviet political intelligence have exhibited genuine sophsticiation concerning internal political developments in "Western" and "Third World" sectors, Soviet political intelligence overall is myth-bound, and usually presents portraits of internal political developments outside the Soviet orbit which are downright ridiculous. On the military-intelligence side, Soviet intelligence has been generally excellent, insofar as we know it.

Israel—Next to British intelligence, Israeli intelligence is generally the best in the world. Israeli intelligence,

"If we strip away penetration agents-in-place of British, Jesuit, and Israeli intelligence, the U.S. presently has virtually no independent intelligence capability working in the national interest."

securing extensive support from Zionist and pro-Zionist circles worldwide, is also massively deployed in dirty work on behalf of the British services, most notably in coordination of international terrorist activities, including most PLO "terrorist" groups. Up to a certain level of London-determined "need to know," therefore, the Mossad and allied services have access to most of the most extremely sensitive intelligence and related secrets of most of the world's other nations, including the United States.

However, in contrast to the exceptional knowledge available to Israeli services, Israeli intelligence leaks are almost consistently the worst kinds of false information issued by any intelligence service, excepting possibly Peking's. In contrast the London press is—within certain limits—the most candid in the world. The London press, controlled entirely by British intelligence services, often lies atrociously, but in such a way that qualified professionals can frequently adduce current British operations policy from an experienced overview of that press. Generally, the Israeli intelligence prefers outright lying.

United States—If we strip away from the United States intelligence those elements which are in fact penetration-agents-in-place of British, Jesuit, and Israeli intelligence services, the United States presently has virtually no independent intelligence capability working in the national interest. The last vestige of such a capability was extirpated from regular agencies over the period from August 1977 through fall 1978; the takeover of the U.S. International Association of Chiefs of Police, and a similar coup within the Law Enforcement Intelligence Unit, virtually eliminated antiterrorist intelligence capabilities as well.

The wrecking of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) under the Nixon and Carter administrations is merely the concluding phase of this circumstance.

A partial roster of U.S. intelligence agencies helps to make the picture more concrete.

1. FBI "Counterintelligence." FBI counterintelligence was created under the direction of the British Special Operations Executive (SOE) as FBI Division V. This unit was coordinated by the same Louis M. Bloomfield later heading up the Permindex organization.

Among the various components of the FBI, the most patriotic is the fugitive division. This is the center of the nationalist current within the entity, just as the counterintelligence side is the "fruitiest," least patriotic.

2. U.S. Department of Justice. The problematic features of the DOJ are exemplified by noting that former and present attorney generals include Ramsey Clark, Edward Levi, and Benjamin Civiletti. Ramsey Clark is otherwise noted as key in suppressing the investigation of Permindex, as a backer of the youthgang projects key to urban riots, as an active organizer of the legal defense of both the Baader-Meinhof and Red Brigades terrorists, and as not only a supporter of Khomeini's insurrection, but caught red-handed in inciting the Iranian taking of U.S. hostages. Edward Levi is integral of the Chicago apparatus involving General Julius Klein and the Insull-linked group which deployed gangster Al Capone and Capone's "enforcer," Frank Nitti. Levi is also notable for wrecking the antiterrorist capabilities of Federal, state and local law enforcement agencies. Civiletti is the instigator of the release of four unrepentant Puerto Rican terrorists, for dropping of charges against the Weatherman terrorists, and for providing Philip Agee with immunity from prosecution in the U.S.A. The dirtiest element in the DOJ centers historically around the initials OSI (Office of Special Investigations), a current with which Julius Klein is associated historically, as well as his role in reviving the Office of Special Investigations over the objections of all DOJ officials but Civiletti.

During and following the last war, Klein headed up the unit in which Fritz Kraemer and Helmut Sonnenfeldt performed key roles. This unit also included Pfc jeep-driver Henry A. Kissinger, the jeep-driver which Kraemer transformed into the Kissinger Kraemer recently described as the public figure "I invented." Kraemer also "invented" the midget, four-paper-clip man on a white pony, General Alexander Haig, the man who aided Kissinger in the inside phases of setting up Nixon for Watergate.

3. The National Security Agency. The NSA is also a creation of the British SOE, and is to this day an agency jointly operated by U.S. and British intelligence. It is the largest U.S. intelligence agency, and is primarily the agency which monitors postal and telecommunications domestically as well as internationally. This is the agency which opens each and every diplomatic pouch and intercepts and decodes all diplomatic telecommunications, as well as maintaining 100 percent monitoring of all international mail and telecommunications to and from the United States. The NSA also runs other forms of dirty tricks.

4. Air Force Intelligence. Air Force Intelligence is the second largest (after NSA) official intelligence agency of the United States, and one of the dirtiest as well as least patriotic.

The Air Force Intelligence is historically complemented by and overlaps with the Rand Corporation, a private, contract, intelligence agency. The Rand Corporation is the corporate outgrowth of the wartime United States Strategic Bombing Survey. The latter was a clone of the British Strategic Bombing Survey, which was, in turn, a subsidiary of the Tavistock Clinic, the British psychological-warfare branch. When Rand was constituted as a private corporation, the Tavistock Institute (Sussex) directed the corporation's development, under the immediate on-site supervision of top British psywar executive H.V. Dicks.

Although Air Force Intelligence is presumed to be concerned chiefly with strategic and tactical concerns of the U.S.A. and NATO air arms, the bulk of its activity over the decades to date has been psychological warfare operations, including major operations deployed against the U.S. population.

5. Naval Intelligence. When U.S. Naval Intelligence was constituted, during the 1880s, it was mandated to conduct operations against every foreign power but Britain, the one power it was prohibited from studying. During and following the war, a special counterintellience section, called the Office of Naval Intelligence (ONI) was developed under the coordination of Colonel Stephenson's aide, Louis M. Bloomfield.

ONI and FBI Division V were interchangeable in fact during the postwar period.

6. Central Intelligence Agency. The OSS, wartime predecessor organization of the CIA, was composed of two currents of outlook, generally described as the "conservative patriots" and the fruitier "Anglophiles." Allen Dulles, like his brother, John Foster Dulles, was among the most hardcore of Anglophiles. Although the conservatives never developed a competent strategic political-intelligence capability, they represented a source of potential danger to the kinds of treasonous operations which Kissinger, Bush and Turner's CIA were to be assigned during the course of the 1970s. Therefore, beginning with Kissinger's reign at the National Security Council, the CIA was cut to pieces, its covert operations element, the gut of the patriotic faction, ripped out under Turner's and Mondale's direction from the summer of 1977 onward. Now, the CIA is essentially reduced to errand boy and playback device for British, Israeli, and Peking intelligence propaganda hand-outs.

Whig intelligence

The last competent national intelligence capability possessed by the United States was the Whig intelligence network constructed around the key role of the Marquis de Lafayette and built on the basis of the 1783-founded Cincinnatus Society, then jointly headed by George Washington (commanding, U.S.A.), the Marquis de Lafayette (France), and Baron von Steuben (Germany). This continued into the period of the Civil War in the United States, when the service was commanded by General Winfield Scott, and included such leading executives as Samuel F.B. Morse and Henry C. Carey. Links with elements of German republican intelligence continued throughout the 1870s.

With the adoption of the 1879 Specie Resumption Act in the United States, London financial interests took over control of U.S. national credit. With the establishment of the Federal Reserve System in 1913, this British control of U.S. foreign policy was nearly consolidated—despite the threat of war between Britain and the U.S.A. during the early 1920s. During Truman's presidency, Churchill et al. shaped U.S. policy almost entirely into British mold, and the New York Council on Foreign Relations, a rabidly Anglophile daughter-organization of the London Royal Institute for International Affairs, took control of U.S. policy-making institutions, plus the shaping of policies and executive rosters of the various U.S. intelligence (and military) services.

So, as in West Germany today, the root of the incompetence of U.S. intelligence services is the loss of historical continuity, the lack of a leading political elite which has assimilated the lessons of history. At best, the intelligence services of both nations are dominated by the most narrow sort of pragmatism, with a forward concentration-span of minuteness which would embarass a brain-damaged grasshopper.

A similar problem arises in Soviet intelligence. Soviet mythology prevents contemporary Soviet political-intelligence specialists from rooting their perception of strategic national interest in the example of Count Witte's alliance with France's Gabriel Hanotaux. For related reasons, Soviet specialists do not recognize the identical British motives for creating both world wars of the century, and are therefore blindfolded in their attempts to assess the British geopolitical motives which run consistently from Lord Milner's turn-of-the-century Coefficients and Round Table into the "China option" of today.

Politics is the making of present and future history. Without a deep-rooted comprehension of the corresponding historical process, an intelligence recruit is doomed to be essentially incompetent in respect to all the larger, more fundamental issues of political and

"Politics is the making of present and future history. Without a deep-rooted comprehension of the corresponding historical process, the intelligence recruit is doomed to be incompetent..."

related strategic intelligence. A competent intelligence officer is one who has assimilated past history as living history into his innermost sense of personal identity. Just as the sense of personal identity is the source of energy for concentration-span and for the passion of judgment, so the best intelligence officers have tended to be those who embody an inbred family tradition concerning their forebearers' place in the former course of historical struggles in world affairs.

It is for such reasons that the British oligarchy, which represents the wrong side in history to date, has maintained the best among the world's national intelligence services. It is for such reasons that the Legitimist tradition in France, typifies by de Gaulle, has the best sort of insight into the British problem, and produces the second-best intelligence product in the world among national intelligence services today. It is the reason the old German intelligence services used to be the second best in the world. Conversely, it is the key to the reasons that the United States and German intelligence services are presently among the worst of all principal nations of the world.

This writer is associated with one of the better private political intelligence agencies in the world. For related reasons, numerous among leading circles in the Federal republic are obsessively persuaded that I am a CIA agent—they obviously insult me unintentionally, since they do not know how bad current CIA performance is. Since the NSIPS product is better than the CIA product they obtain through normal channels, they assume that NSIPS, the private news agency with which I am associated, must be reflecting some of the better, inside information and evaluations of the CIA, not circulated through normal courtesy channels.

The New York Times, in preparing its libel against me on behalf of the New York Council on Foreign Relations last summer, was working at that time to prove, with the aid of CIA Director Turner, that I was tied to some obscure, dark part of the intelligence community, beyond the immediate reach of Admiral Turner. As the Times reported, Council of Foreign Relations Director William Bundy was fearful that NSIPS might have penetrated into the most secret proceedings of the Bilderbergers.

Unable to make the CIA connection, even with the

aid of Admiral Turner, the Times abandoned that thematic lead for its libel, and adopted a recommendation of British intelligence instead for its thematic lead. (The Times libel was prepared in collaboration with the Permindex-linked Roy Cohn, who was acting under contract to British intelligence in this matter according to John Loeb, Jr. of the Loeb-Rhoades Loebs.)

British channels and their U.S. playback circuits tell Americans that I am a German agent, tell some Germans that I am a CIA agent, and other Germans that I am KGB-linked. They also circulate the story in other quarters that I am a Vatican agent. That is deliberate disinformation, of course, since the same channels have been caught red-handed circulating directly opposite allegations to different persons and governments, according to the psychological profile of the recipient of such lies.

Quite apart from such lies, those same circles, including intelligence networks based in Georgetown University, sincerely believe that I was recruited by some Western European secret circles during the spring of 1968. They are currently deploying a major, international effort for the purpose of attempting to discover who that darn "Western European" agency might be. William Bundy's exclamation of fear concerning my suspected penetration of Bilderberger secrets is another example of the point.

Another group, associated with the Hudson Institute of the United States is closer to the truth. According to the source, Hudson has been provided use of a major corporation's computer facilities to put all of my literary output into a computer for a "linguistic analysis." A corroborating, high-level source in the U.S. intelligence community reports that such an analysis is being made for the included purpose of tracing my intellectual influence into broader circles. According to the source involved in running the project, the included purpose is to assess the impact of my ideas in literary form for such contingencies as my "martyrdom." This source complained, and rightly so, that a better approach would be to proceed from the standpoint that I am a Neoplatonic thinker.

I include this discussion of NSIPS and its capabilities here because that discussion bears directly upon solving the kinds of problems associated with the current poor quality of German and U.S. intelligence. The source was correct in stating that the secret about LaRouche is that he is a Neoplatonic. In stating that, the source identified exactly the reason for the blundering investigation of the Georgetown-based intelligence group. In seeking a tangible, personalized West European source for my presumed 1968 cooptation, the Georgetown group had erred merely in misplacing the nature of the source of my Neoplatonic outlook and methodology. That Neoplatonic outlook and method, sometimes termed alternately, an Augustinian outlook, is the essential feature of the French Legitimist elite, of the corresponding elites within the Italian Christian Democracy, and the German republican-industrial-scientific elite.

The British intelligence services typify the opposing elite, the Appollonian or "Delphic" tradition of opposition to Neoplatonism.

In the wake of the August 1971 catastrophe engineered by London and by such London accomplices as John Connally, Paul A. Volcker, and Henry Reuss, I proposed to my associates that we organize an intelligence service along the lines of a "desk" organization of a major national newsweekly. A coordinated transAtlantic operation against us during 1973-1974, involving high-level elements of British MI-5 and corresponding elements of NATO intelligence, led to our discovery of additional dimensions in the current strategic situation, and prompted us to incorporate our political-intelligence activities and related newsservice as New Solidarity International Press Service (NSIPS).

During 1975, two developments brought this writer and NSIPS from relative obscurity into growing recognition and importance. The first, and most weighty, was the campaign centered around a proposal for an "International Development Bank," a new gold-based world monetary system, to replace the IMF and World Bank. Activities centered around this proposal not only brought us into close contact with numerous leading circles of various nations, but also drew adversary attention and operations from high levels of opposing circles, including personal deployments against me by Henry A. Kissinger. The second, important but less weighty, development was our Autumn 1975 reading of the pre-publication of the Hilex '75 scenario in Der Spiegel as involving a new, high-risk form of employment of NATO exercises as crisis-management operations. This latter judgment of ours produced panicreactions in NATO circles and in U.S. branches of Anglo-American intelligence circles. (From that time onwards, according to official U.S. documents now released, the U.S. Embassy in Bonn, together with NATO intelligence, has been heavily engaged in black propaganda and other covert operations against me and my associates.)

As a consequence of this increased contact and prominence in many circles, NSIPS emerged increasingly as an active factor in the world political-intelligence circuits. NSIPS reports are used as a source for composing evaluations and deploying operations by many circles, and NSIPS representatives are in active contact with a wide variety of circles in the world in the course of journalistic activities and background discussions.

Since the material resources of the NSIPS are severely limited, the importance of NSIPS rises from the quality of its evaluations and related work, not the sheer mass of information processed. In 1979, for example, total revenues from publication-sales and related income associated with NSIPS activity internationally will be in the order of 4 million dollars. Persons chiefly involved in newsgathering and evaluations work associated with NSIPS total to merely several hundreds internationally. The figures, which should rise to between 8 and 10 million dollars during 1980, are respectable, but are extremely modest in comparison with a Time Magazine or resources of intelligence services of even smaller nations. It is the superior quality of the evaluations and related work of NSIPS which accounts for the massive libel, slander and harassment activities directed against it internationally.

The root of quality

Although I was not born into elite circles, I was a child prodigy in an unusual area of specialization: philosophy, selecting Leibniz over other philosophers known to me by the age of 14 and developing an avid interest in Kant during my fifteenth and sixteenth years.

It was that background which led into the beginning of my most important contribution to science during 1952. It was then that I first recognized, through aid of a study of the work of Georg Cantor, that Riemannian physics, as distinctively identified by Riemann's Habilitation thesis, provided, and uniquely so, the solution to the fundamental, unsolved problem of economic science: a mathematical sort of treatment of the evolution of an economy, using the rate of technological progress as the sole primary metric of action in an economy.

That discovery, and subsequent familiarity with the implications of its empirical proof, has shaped more or less comprehensively the source of my development and activities since 1952. If one recognizes that Riemann's 1854 paper on "the hypotheses which underlie geometry" is identical in thrust with Plato's conception of "the hypothesis of the higher hypothesis," the importance of my successes in economic science for deepening a childhood commitment to a Neoplatonic outlook is appropriately situated.

I have always hated the Apollonian (e.g., Aristote-

"The problem of the Paris-Bonn EMS forces is that leading layers are inadequately knowledgeable of the history of the Apollo-versus-Plato struggle."

lean) world outlook, as well as forms of irrationalism inclusive of pragmatism, and have chosen my intellectual "ancestors" accordingly. This outlook was embodied in a series of one-semester courses on economic science and method which I gave repeatedly over the 1966-1973 period. It was that course which yielded the association of persons with which I am most immediately identified internationally. By selection and further self-development, those associates also represent a Neoplatonic outlook and method. What NSIPS represents, most essentially, is a modern replication of Plato's Academy at Athens, an association which naturally approaches what appear to some others as diversified scientific, scholarly, and political activities with that same impulse which earlier characterized Plato's and Leibniz's networks, among other endeavors in the same general tradition.

One must correlate with that the fact that the essential conflict in today's world is between the Apol-Ionians (i.e., British, Black Nobility, Hapsburg, et al.) on the one side, and various degrees of conscious and unconscious approximation of a Neoplatonic force on the other side. That is the underlying implication of the central conflict in the world at this moment, between the forces respectively aligned with the Bonn-Paris and London-New York axes of power.

The problem of the Paris-Bonn-centered combination, the pro-EMS forces, is that the leading and supporting layers of this faction are chiefly inadequately knowledgeable in the modern as well as ancient nature and history of the Apollo-versus-Plato struggle. In effect that has been a struggle, in state policy, between the "Malthusian" usurers' faction (Apollo) and the citybuilder, pro-technology faction (Plato). Meanwhile, the leading strata of the Apollonian faction, leading British and allied circles, are acting with aid of more or less adequate knowledge of the history of their side of the age-old, continuing conflict.

When political-intelligence specialists look at today's developments from the standpoint of age-old knowledge of the Apollo-versus-Plato struggle, the evaluation of current developments is rather readily accomplished. Without such knowledge, the intelligence specialist of either side tends to assess events with the

follies of pragmatism and absurd but conventional mythologies. The evaluations developed from the latter standpoint must be necessarily a muddle.

Where intelligence services are staffed by representatives of historically established national elites, one finds, at worst, a combination of family traditions and some classical knowledge, and therefore at least an approximation of good intelligence work. Where intelligence services are staffed from the "street," so to speak, by persons lacking either family elite traditions or a rigorous classical education in philosophy and history, the evaluations reached are inevitably incompetent.

So, one uncovers the reasons otherwise honest intelligence specialists in the United States, the Federal Republic, or in the Soviet Union are so easily, so repeatedly duped by British and allied agents penetrating their circles. The average, honest U.S. intelligence operative does not know what the American Revolution against Britain was about. Every example of incompetence on important matters within the ranks of honest members of the U.S. intelligence community is efficiently traced directly to pathetic ignorance on that point. The Soviets are blinded by the mythologies which they associate with the 1917 revolution, and with their pathetic version of the 1792-1794 Jacobin (e.g., "left") circles in France. The East Germans are also dupes on the same matter of "leftism." This pathetic folly of East Germany intelligence is exemplified by their gross lack of taste as well as political stupidity in ranking Korschite existentialist Brecht as equal to or superior to Schiller. With such fools, the British can play all year round. In the Federal Republic, the simplistic mythologies of the "Cold War" make the person with a lack of rigorous classical educational overview of German history equally an easy dupe for British intelligence.

It is not essential that future intelligence officers be recruited from the most talented ranks of elite families. It is indispensable that the intelligence specialist be prequalified as a person with the appropriate depth of culture. The "mechanics" of the intelligence trade may not require a rigorous education in the classics. No one should be permitted to rise to an executive post in an intelligence service without "classical" qualifications.