

The Queen of England pushes drugs?

YES, LAROCHE REALLY SAID IT.

The media often describes LaRouche as a conspiracy theorist who believes the Queen of England pushes drugs. When this description of his views on the Queen were inserted into Wikipedia's bio of him, his followers who patrol the online encyclopedia like border guards on the near side of the Rio Grande--and attempt to remove any negative statements regarding Dear Leader--claimed he never said it. It was a lie, they alleged, concocted by NBC-TV in the early 1980s and maliciously repeated thereafter by LaRouche's vast swarm of enemies.

The LaRouche Youth Movement (LYM) members who believe LaRouche never said it are adopting this position on the basis of ignorance and blind faith. They are kept so isolated from the real world, and so burdened with grunt work for the cult, that they wouldn't be able to check it out for themselves even if they wanted to.

Older LaRouche followers may or may not know the truth, but cynically say whatever the org expects them to say.

But LaRouche himself admitted under oath in 1984, during the federal court trial of his libel case against NBC and the Anti-Defamation League, that he had indeed made the accusation against Ms. Windsor. Here's our proof from the court transcript in *LaRouche v. National Broadcasting Company, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 84-0136-A, in the United State District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (transcript pages 1175-76). I am pretty sure this is from LaRouche's Oct. 29, 1984 testimony, but there are lacunae in my copy of the transcript so it's possible the two pages are from his next day on the witness stand.

The jury was singularly unimpressed by LaRouche's lengthy and rambling testimony. They found that the defendants had NOT libeled him by, among other things, calling him a "small-time Hitler" and saying he had once called for assassinating President Jimmy Carter with a remote-controlled radio bomb. Indeed, the jury awarded defendant NBC \$3 million in damages on a counterclaim.

In reading LaRouche's testimony, don't be confused by his protestations that he had only made the statement "in a specific context." Every statement by a human being since the dawn of language has implied a "specific context"; it would be impossible to make a statement that didn't.

V O L U M E V I

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

1			
2			
3	LYNDON H. LAROUCHE, JR.,)	
4	PLAINTIFF,)	
5	VS.)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 84-0136-A
6	NATIONAL BROADCASTING)	
7	COMPANY, INC., ET AL.,)	
8	DEFENDANTS.)	

9 THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER CAME ON TO BE HEARD IN THE
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA,
11 COMMENCING AT 10:00 A.M. ON OCTOBER 29, 1984.

12 BEFORE: HONORABLE JAMES C. GACHERIS, PRESIDING
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

14 AND A JURY

15 APPEARANCES:

16	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	ODIN P. ANDERSON, ESQ.
		MICHAEL DENNIS, ESQ.
		ROBERT L. ROSSI, ESQ.

17		
18	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:	THOMAS KAVALER, ESQ.
	(N.B.C., ROSS, LYNCH,	DEVEREAUX CHATILON, ESQ.
19	AND NYKANEN)	PETER K. STACKHOUSE, ESQ.

20	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:	RODNEY F. PAGE, ESQ.
	(ANTI-DEFAMATION	BARBARA S. WAHL, ESQ.
21	LEAGUE, SUALL, AND	WAYNE H. MATELSKI, ESQ.
	ROSEN)	CRAIG ISCOE, ESQ.

1 MR. ANDERSON: HE'S EXCUSED AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED.

2 THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?

3 MR. KAVALER: NO OBJECTION.

4 (WITNESS EXCUSED.)

5 MR. ANDERSON: YOUR HONOR, MAY WE HAVE A BRIEF
6 RECESS?

7 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. TAKE A TEN-MINUTE BREAK
8 WITH THE SAME ADMONITION I GAVE YOU AT THE START OF THE
9 TRIAL. WE'LL COME BACK AND PICK UP WITH OUR NEXT WITNESS.

10 (A BRIEF RECESS WAS TAKEN.)

11 (JURY PRESENT.)

12 THE COURT: CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS, PLEASE, SIR.

13 MR. ANDERSON: MR. LYNDON H. LAROUCHE, JR.

14 L Y N D O N H. L A R O U C H E, J R., THE PLAINTIFF,
15 CALLED AS A WITNESS IN HIS OWN BEHALF, BEING FIRST
16 DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

17 DIRECT EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. ANDERSON:

19 Q MR. LAROUCHE, KEEPING YOUR VOICE UP LOUD AND CLEAR
20 AND DIRECTING YOUR RESPONSE TO THE JURORS, WOULD YOU PLEASE
21 STATE YOUR FULL NAME.

22 A LYNDON HERMYLE LAROUCHE, JR.

23 Q HOW OLD ARE YOU, SIR?

24 A SIXTY-TWO YEARS OLD.

25 Q WOULD YOU SKETCH FOR THE JURY, PLEASE, YOUR BACKGROUND,

1 OF THE A.D.L., NOT NECESSARILY THE A.D.L. OTHERWISE.

2 BY MR. KAVALER:

3 Q DID YOU GIVE THAT ANSWER?

4 THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE. HE WANTED TO SEE THE
5 DEPOSITION.

6 THE WITNESS: NO, I KNOW ENOUGH TO KNOW THE ANSWER
7 ON THIS ONE. THE POINT IS THERE IS SOME GARBLING OF YOUR
8 RECITATION AND SOME ERRORS IN THE WORDS, ERRATA, IN THE
9 TRANSCRIPT AT THAT POINT, BUT ESSENTIALLY IN THE CONTEXT--

10 BY MR. KAVALER:

11 Q I'M SORRY, MR. LAROCHE, MY QUESTION IS DID I ASK
12 YOU THAT QUESTION AND DID YOU GIVE THAT ANSWER. YES OR NO?

13 A WOULD YOU LET ME COMPLETE MY ANSWER --

14 Q NO.

15 THE COURT: YOU'VE GOT TO ANSWER THE QUESTION,
16 THE QUESTION HE ASKED YOU.

17 THE WITNESS: I CANNOT ANSWER THAT QUESTION YES
18 OR NO, YOUR HONOR. IT WOULD NOT BE A TRUTHFUL ANSWER EITHER
19 WAY.

20 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SIR.

21 BY MR. KAVALER:

22 Q HAVE YOU EVER CALLED THE QUEEN OF ENGLAND A DOPE
23 DEALER?

24 A IN CONTEXT, IN A VERY SPECIFIC CONTEXT I HAVE DONE
25 SO.

1 Q HAVE YOU SAID THE QUEEN OF ENGLAND IS PUSHING DRUGS?

2 A IN A VERY SPECIFIC CONTEXT AS PART OF A MUCH LARGER
3 ARGUMENT. IN ISOLATION, I HAVE NEVER SAID THAT IN ISOLATION.

4 Q HAVE YOU SAID THAT IT'S YOUR POSITION THAT QUEEN
5 ELIZABETH II, THE CURRENT REIGNING MONARCH OF GREAT BRITAIN,
6 IS A DOPE DEALER?

7 A SAME ANSWER, MR. KAVALER. SAME QUESTION, SAME
8 ANSWER. QUESTION ASKED AND ANSWERED.

9 Q THE ANSWER IS YES?

10 A NO, THE ANSWER IS NOT YES.

11 Q YOU DIDN'T SAY THAT?

12 A THE ANSWER IS IN CONTEXT.

13 Q YOU DID SAY IT IN CONTEXT?

14 A THE ANSWER IS IN A SPECIFIC CONTEXT, YES, NOT OUT
15 OF THAT CONTEXT. RIGHT.

16 Q NOW, MR. LAROCHE, ARE THERE A LOT OF NAZIS IN
17 THE WORLD TODAY?

18 A DEPENDS. WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY A LOT?

19 Q WELL, LET'S SEE. LET'S FIND OUT WHO THEY ARE.

20 A DO YOU MEAN MANY PEOPLE IN THE SENSE OF MORE THAN
21 TEN, A HUNDRED, OR A THOUSAND, WHAT NOT? YES.

22 Q HAVE YOU EVER SAID THAT GOVERNOR AVERILL HARRIMAN
23 OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS A NAZI?

24 A THAT'S ALSO IN CONTEXT. I WOULDN'T SAY IT OUT
25 OF CONTEXT, NEVER OUT OF CONTEXT.